FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1101 OF 2007

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of coram, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr.N.R.Katikar for the petitioner.
Mrs.U.V.Kejariwal APP for the State.

CORAM: SMT.RANJANA DESAI &
D.B.BHOSALE, JJ.
DATED: 29TH JUNE, 2007.

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner's application for Parole is rejected because appropriate surety is not offerred by him. The learned A.P.P. states that if appropriate surety is offerred the respondents will reconsider the petitioner's Parole application. Mr.Katikar, learned counsel states that the petitioner shall offer appropriate surety. If appropriate surety is offerred the respondents shall consider the petitioner's application afresh. Office to communicate this order to the concerned jail authorities forthwith. The petition is disposed of.

(D.B.BHOSALE, J.) **(SMT.RANJANA DESAI, J.)**